## Manuscript Summary

## Reviewer’s one-paragraph summary of the manuscript.

## Manuscript Feedback

Start the feedback by saying something positive about the manuscript.

Then let the author know how your review is structured, e.g.: “Note to author: comments in a numbered list require a response. Non-numbered feedback is shared for clarity or informational purposes.”

### Descriptive header indicating focal area of feedback.

[Optional] This summary describes why you believe this is an area for improvement.

* 1. Provide specific examples and citations from the manuscript where the problem occurs, including line numbers and page numbers. If you are able, provide specific citations or examples of how to address the problem using alternative literature.
  2. Additional specific feedback item.

### **Header indicates overall area for improvement.**

[Optional] This summary describes why you believe this is an area for improvement.

* 1. Items for improvement should have continuous numbering; note that this starts at 3 rather than 1 because it is the third specific feedback item.

### Additional thoughts for the author’s consideration

The comments here are not required changes.

### Minor fixes

List specific fixes here, such as typos and finnicky improvements.

* 1. Typo on p.469 line 130; OP should be OPA

### References

Providing complete citations helps the author locate suggested sources.